

**Chester County
District Progress Report
2013-2014**

CCHS
EOC
Subjects



One Year
Student
Growth

Algebra I Met State Standard
Algebra II Met State Standard
Biology I Below State Standard
English I Met State Standard
English II Met State Standard
English III Met State Standard
U. S. History Above State Standard
Chemistry Above State Standard

CCHS
EOC
Subjects



3 Year
Student
Growth

Algebra I	Above State Standard
Algebra II	Above State Standard
Biology I	Met State Standard
English I	Met State Standard
English II	Above State Standard
English III	Above State Standard
U. S. History	Above State Standard

Algebra I

69.0% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 42nd in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

38th
Last Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 73rd
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

Algebra II

45.4% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 73rd in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

35th

Last

Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 105th
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

Biology I

63.1% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 74th in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

80th
Last
Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 38th
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

English I

71.2% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 61st in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 26th
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

English II

65.6% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 50th in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

43rd
Last
Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 73rd
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

English III

50.0% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 18th in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

25th
Last
Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 41st
119 Districts Report in Tenn.

U. S. History

98.3% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 33rd in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

58th
Last
Year

Top
30
Growth
2 Yrs

Chester County's
Percentage Improvement
ranked 30th

119 Districts Report in Tenn.

District
4th-8th
Subjects



One Year
Student
Growth

Math Above State Standard

Language Arts Below State Standard

Science Met State Standard

Social Studies Below State Standard

District
4th-8th
Subjects



3 Year
Student
Growth

Math Above State Standard

Language Arts Met State Standard

Science Above State Standard

Social Studies Above State Standard

Math 3-8

62.2% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 22nd
in the State for
Percentage P/A

Up 2
Year
s

24th
Last
Year

Chester County's
Percentage Improvement
ranked 72nd

137 Districts Report in Tenn.

Language Arts 3-8

51.4% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 52nd
in the State for
Percentage P/A

60th
Last
Year

Up 2
Year
s

Chester County's
Percentage Improvement
ranked 32nd
34th last year

Science 3-8

74.6% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 22nd in
the State for Percentage
Proficient/Advanced

22nd

Last

Year

Chester County's Percentage
Improvement ranked 95th
137 Districts Report

14th 2013

1st 2012

Science 3-8

Percentage Proficient/Advanced

Chester
County
Top in SW
TN

Social Studies 3-8

88.0% Proficient/Advanced

Chester County ranked 64th

in the State for
Percentage Proficient/
Advanced

50th

Last

Year

20th

Last

Year



Chester County's

Percentage Improvement

ranked 111th





137 Districts Report in Tenn.

District Achievement AMO Targets





Subject & Grade Level(s)	2013 Percent Proficient & Advanced	2014 AMO Target	2014 Percent Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced (State)	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
3 - 8 RLA	51.3 %	54.3 %	51.4 %	0.1 %	-1.0 %	
3 - 8 Math	62.0 %	64.4 %	62.2 %	0.2 %	0.5 %	





District Achievement Targets

Subject & Grade Level(s)	2013 Percent Proficient & Advanced	2014 AMO Target	2014 Percent Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced (State)	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
3rd RLA	53.3 %	56.2 %	48.9 %	-4.4 %	-5.1 %	
3rd Math	64.3 %	66.5 %	71.3 %	7.0 %	-2.7 %	
7th RLA	36.6 %	40.6 %	49.7 %	13.1 %	3.5 %	
7th Math	41.4 %	45.1 %	46.5 %	5.1 %	-2.6 %	

AMO Gap Closure Targets

Gap Type	Subject & Grade Level	2013 Gap	2014 AMO Target	2014 Gap	2014 State Gap	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
Black/Hispanic/Native American vs. All Students	3-8 Math	14.1 %	13.2 %	15.2 %	14.6 %	
Black/Hispanic/Native American vs. All Students	3-8 Reading	16.2 %	15.2 %	16.4 %	16.3 %	
Economically Disadvantaged vs. Non-ED	3-8 Math	17.2 %	16.1 %	19.2 %	26.6 %	
Economically Disadvantaged vs. Non-ED	3-8 Reading	20.7 %	19.4 %	21.7 %	29.9 %	

AMO Gap Closure Targets

Gap Type	Subject & Grade Level	2013 Gap	2014 AMO Target	2014 Gap	2014 State Gap	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
Students with Disabilities vs. Non-SWD	3-8 Math	40.6 %	38.1 %	40.8 %	26.4 %	
Students with Disabilities vs. Non-SWD	3-8 Reading	38.2 %	35.8 %	37.2 %	25.1 %	



District 3-8 AMO Targets








Targets Met 4







Targets Missed 8

District High School Achievement AMO Targets

Subject & Grade Level(s)	2013 Percent Proficient & Advanced	2014 AMO Target	2014 Percent Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced	Change in Proficient & Advanced (State)	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
Algebra I	69.2 %	71.1 %	69.0 %	-0.2 %	2.1 %	
English II	64.2 %	66.4 %	65.6 %	1.4 %	3.9 %	
Grad Rate	95.5 %	95.8 %	93.5 %			
English III	48.9 %	52.1 %	50.0 %	1.1 %	-1.5 %	
Algebra II	51.2 %	54.2 %	45.4 %	-5.8 %	5.9 %	

District High School AMO Gap Closure Targets

Gap Type	Subject & Grade Level	2013 Gap	2014 AMO Target	2014 Gap	2014 State Gap	Met/Miss After Safe Harbor
Black/Hispanic/Native American vs. All	Algebra I & II	14.3 %	13.4 %	21.1 %	14.8 %	
Black/Hispanic/Native American vs. All	English II & III	23.2 %	21.7 %	26.7 %	16.5 %	
Economically Disadvantaged vs. Non-ED	Algebra I & II	21.4 %	20.1 %	15.1 %	23.0 %	
Economically Disadvantaged vs. Non-ED	English II & III	25.8 %	24.2 %	23.9 %	26.6 %	

District High School AMO Targets



Targets Met **5**



Targets Missed **4**